UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/050,249	03/30/1998	HARUKI OKAMURA	OKAMURA=2B	6601
	7590 01/06/200 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH ST		JIANG, DONG		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/050,249	OKAMURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DONG JIANG	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 №</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration. 120 is/are rejected.	tion.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

The request filed on 06 November 2008 for a Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/050,249 is acceptable, and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Applicant's amendment filed on 06 November 2008 is acknowledged and entered. Following the amendment, claims 95, 98, 101-103, 105, 109-115, 118 and 119 are canceled, and claims 93, 99, 100, 104, 106, 116 and 120 are amended.

Currently, claims 93, 99, 100, 104, 106-108, 116, 117 and 120 are pending and under consideration.

Withdrawal of Objections and Rejections:

All objections and rejections of claims 95, 98, 101-103, 105, 109-115, 118 and 119 are moot as the applicant has canceled the claims.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112:

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 93, 99, 100, 104, 106-108, 116, 117 and 120 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The newly amended claim 93 recites "mainly showing a single protein band with *an activity* of ... at a position ..." in lines 6-7. It is unclear how the protein induces an activity at a position on a gel.

The remaining claims are included in this rejection because it is dependent from the specifically mentioned claims without resolving the indefiniteness issue belonging thereto.

Rejections Over Prior Art:

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 93, 99, 100, 104, 106-108, 116, 117 and 120 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura *et al.* (*Infect. Immun.* 61: 64-70, 1993), for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office Actions.

Applicants argument filed on 06 November 2008 has been fully considered, but is not deemed persuasive for reasons below.

At page 6 of the response, applicants argue that Claim 1 is amended to define "a monoclonal antibody immobilized on a matrix or substrate", which "specifically recognizes IGIF or IL-18 to adsorb said IGIF or IL-18 and desorb it when the pH around the monoclonal antibody is changed, and that the presently amended claims are not made obvious by the Nakamura reference cited and applied by the examiner. This argument is not persuasive because the new limitations are merely about how the antibody is used (immobilized, adsorb and desorb IGIF/IL-18), which do not alter the nature of the antibody. Therefore, such limitations add no patentable weight to the claimed product. Accordingly, the claims remain unpatentable over the Nakamura reference.

Application/Control Number: 09/050,249 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

Conclusion:

No claim is allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09/050,249 Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information:

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Dong Jiang whose telephone number is 571-272-0872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday

from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dong Jiang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646 12/26/08 Application/Control Number: 09/050,249

Art Unit: 1646

Page 6